

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | AT | TTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|----|--------------------|
| 08/840, | ,548 04/ | 03/97 JOHNSON | L. | 225557 |

QM31/0330

CUSHMAN DARBY & CUSHMAN 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

| EXAN | NINER | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| TUCKER, G | | | | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| 3731 | 17 | | | |
| DATE MAILED: | 03/30/98 | | | |

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

| | <i>_</i> . | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| ☑ TH | IE PERIOD FOR RE | SPONSE: | | | | | |
| a) 🔲 | is extended to run | or continu | ues to run | from the date o | of the final rejection | | |
| b) 🗹 | expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| | The date on which purposes of deterr | the response, the petition, and | d the fee have been f and the corresponding | iled is the date of the resp g amount of the fee. Any | d response and the appropriate fee. conse and also the date for the extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR or as set forth in b) above. | | |
| ☐ Ar | pellant's Brief is due | in accordance with 37 CFR 1. | 192(a). | | | | |
| | | o the final rejection, filed \underline{z} - o in condition for allowance: | 76 98 has be | een considered with the fo | ollowing effect, but it is not deemed | | |
| 1. | The proposed ame | ndments to the claim and /or sp | pecification will not be | entered and the final reje | ection stands because: | | |
| | a. There is no operated. | convincing showing under 37 C | FR 1.116(b) why the | proposed amendment is r | necessary and was not earlier | | |
| | b. They raise n | ew issues that would require fu | rther consideration ar | nd/or search. (See Note). | | | |
| | c. They raise the | ne issue of new matter. (See No | ote). | | | | |
| | d. They are no appeal. | ot deemed to place the applicat | ion in better form for | appeal by materially reduc | cing or simplifying the issues for | | |
| | e. They preser | nt additional claims without cand | celling a correspondi | ng number of finally reject | ed claims. | | |
| | NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 2 | Newly proposed of the non-allowable | | would be allo | owed if submitted in a sep | parately filed amendment cancelling | | |
| з. 🗌 | Upon the filing an be as follows: | appeal, the proposed amendme | ent 🔲 will be entere | d will not be entered | and the status of the claims will | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| | | sponse has overcome the follow | ving rejection(s): | | | | |
| 4. 🕡 | The affidavit, exhib | oit or request for reconsideration | has been considere | but does not overcome | the rejection because | | |
| 5. 🗌 | The affidavit or ext presented. | ibit will not be considered beca | use applicant has no | shown good and sufficer | nt reasons why it was not earlier | | |
| ☐ The | e proposed drawing | correction has has has r | not been approved by | the examiner. | Mid | | |
| Otl | her | | | | Sans V Jerch | | |
| | | | | | GUY V. TUCKER | | |

GÚY V. TUCKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300